

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – Oct. 17<sup>th</sup>, 2010

A man, a plan, a new co-chair...

Attendees: Dennis Todd, Colleen Bauman (1<sup>st</sup> half ), Justin Honea (2<sup>nd</sup> half) – co-chairs, Paxton Hoag, Jon Pincus, Susanna DeFazio, John “Chewie” Burgess, Jay Hogan, Deane Morrow, Chris Browne, Michael Richard, Tom Churchill, Sylvia Fireman, Dean Middleton, Dawn Scuteri (scribe)

Guests: David Tipton, Bear Pitts, Mudmom, William Wright, Kathee LaVine, Mark Pankratz, Randy McCarty, Charlie Ruff

Introductions –

Old business – BOD meeting elections, all incumbents won

It’s Colleen and Dana’s 35<sup>th</sup> anniversary

Next PPC meeting at Alice’s (but could be at yurt, weather permitting)

Approval of minutes –

Deleted political plug

Make a note – Dean and Deane are spelled differently and they both have the same initials (DM)

Inquiring minds want to know - will Colleen still bring treats and stay on the PPC?

And she will send out the unapproved minutes out for changes

(Scribe arrives – late and flustered with cell phone ringing – it’s been that kind of day for her)

\*begin recording\*

Discussion – time frame for minutes due to PPC is one week, there was some confusion on this.

Chris - will do the summary of minutes for the BOD meetings

Colleen – what about we have one week for the minutes to come in, then co-chairs have it for a week, then the committee members have a week for comments, then we release it to the website

Dennis – agreed

**Motion** – Dennis moved we approve the minutes, 2<sup>nd</sup> by Susanna; Paxton, Michael and Dean abstain

Staff Reports –

Charlie had a special board presentation for a new kitchen/Community Center (with models - think Vanna White, but hairier) about the new kitchen/Community Center w/ special use permit. He explained the 5 recommendations for the BOD to get the process started – each option had estimated costs and square footage options. This is the plan to replace the current main camp kitchen area.

Chewie – The kitchen and seating area are integral, but we want know where we’re going first

Paxton – We need to develop this in stages; are the estimates given using commercial construction estimates. In general, the Fair has always brought our projects in under

budget, that's because of the way we operate. If we want it done quickly, it may cost more.

Charlie – Every bit of this needs to be permitted and inspected

Paxton – Make it green, too

Colleen – Is this NOT where the public is?

Charlie – It's mostly for the event and main camp, the other 9 months after the Fair it can be available to the broader community, and out of the flood plain

Chewie – Thom said we couldn't put it in the flood plain

Colleen – What do you see it being used for during the fair?

Charlie – Hospitality, durables and cooking. We would need to get community center zoning and permits

Mark – Isn't it not on the original property?

Charlie – That's another reason to get the community center zoning and permitting

Jon – Is there any way to access the resources you used for the estimates?

Charlie – We used a cost estimating website.

Jon – You could do a code analysis without doing the application process

Charlie – That's what we're saying here

Justin – Did I hear you right, is this a way to push for durable goods and expansion of projects fair-wide?

Charlie – Folks come to the BOD with ideas, but they are not developed. We can use this kitchen for food canning, preservation. If we do – it needs to be funded and supported for 25 years.

Justin – I totally agree

Chris – At this stage we're looking for design and outline so we can determine what facilities are required. When we get that done, then we can figure out the rest.

Charlie – We need the complete footprint

Tom – I'm curious about the permit process, hoping you have multiple locations, if you have any concerns about archeology

Chewie – Archeology is part of the permitting process

Charlie – No one was talking about it, probably it was just assumed, but good to remind us

Colleen – If we go for the conditional use permit – would it change the original use?

Charlie – No. The other real option is to get a Parks Classification for the Fair.

Dean – If we go with the 12K SF full-meal-deal, \$2 M is conservative. I was involved in the fundraising for the Longhouse Committee at LCC and it went from \$650K to \$1.6M in the 3 years it took to build it

Charlie – I agree with you, it's probably more a 3.5 – 4 M project, but that's my personal feeling. We need to let the vision drive the budget, not the budget drive the vision.

Jay – What will this cost to maintain?

Charlie – This will come with continuing capacity pieces.

Dennis – We have lots to discuss, let's move on

Charlie – I have 2 more items – the website project - on BOD agenda to carry over monies, we're starting specific group project dashboards, we'll be asking for input from you in the next 2 months. And last thing – I will be talking at the BOD retreat about a Camp Crew because regardless of where you're looking, you're looking at someone who will be displaced. Camping is arguably our biggest issue; envision the requirements to the BOD to be the start of the Camp Crew, next year will be a larger roll-out. Until we can find new camping places all the other issue are stuck

Justin – Accessible a validation and solidification of the Camp Elves, and will they be accessible?

Charlie – The whole crew to be accessible

Chris – When will the website be turned on?

Charlie – Depending on the user group, it'll be sooner than you think. It'll be up and running by the end of the year.

Chris – By Jan. we'll have the footprint started?

Charlie – This will be the development/management part

Chris -We've been waiting for a year for the roll-out

Charlie – Well, it depends on who you are, it'll be specific user groups by January for sure.

Michael – Will there be a map server on it?

Charlie – We'll ask for your input about it, we'll add additional pieces as we prioritize them

Michael – In regard to the camping crew, I want to be on it

Charlie – A solicitation will go out for that

#### **Public Comments -**

Dennis – Any public comments?

Jon - Yes...

Dennis – You're not public, you're part of the committee, and you can speak up any time

(No public comments)

#### **Sub-committee reports:**

Susanna – We came up with a proposal and created a timeline to send to the BOD of where we want to see things move; a 4-year action plan

Chewie – Steve and I talked the other day and we'll do siting and flag paths south of Piggy and west of the Crafts Lot. We'll let everyone know when it happens. At the BOD retreat I have 5 min – what should I talk about in my report? The 4-year timeline?

Dennis – Let's have a vote on that first, and we may mark it up.

Colleen – I thought this was supposed to go to the BOD in November

Chewie – It's just a report to update the BOD

Dennis – This is just to vet it. Anybody have any concerns? I asked Justin and he agreed to run to replace Colleen. I'll run again and will you accept Justin as a running mate?

My platform - and you should know this – this is the year for action, and I'm going to drive people hard and I'll expect results. No more focusing on talking. Are there any other nominations?

Michael – Thank god for that!

Jon P – I'd like to formally nominate Justin, Chewie 2<sup>nd</sup>

Dean – I nominate Michael

Michael – I would run, 2<sup>nd</sup> (and close 3<sup>rd</sup>) by Tom and Paxton

Dean was nominated, but he didn't accept.

Dennis – If there are no other nominations then I'd like a written vote

Chewie – That's a waste of paper, and I'd also like to formally nominate Dennis

Dennis – OK

Discussion: where it was decided to use the flip notes/large paper pad turned away from the crowd to make a mark by the candidate of your choosing from the nominees. Voting proceeded.

Colleen – We have 2 new co-chairs – Dennis and Justin. Thank you all for running.  
Michael – Congratulations, you'll do a much better job than I would, but I'll make work for you

Dennis – Everybody will be called on to work. I hope I can represent you faithfully and truthfully when it comes to issues that we have decided.

\*5 min break\*

Meeting resumed with Dennis and our new co-chair Justin

After many calls for a speech from Justin, he obliged us:

Justin – I really appreciate the honor to serve the committee. This committee functions at a high level. I, too, have an agenda to push things forward. My perspective as a registration guy is to make the Fair a new and vibrant experience for the public, we can do that by going to new areas of development. I don't think we have to go all the way the first year. My core is: White Bird, Child Care – we need these services in the new loop. It took 4 hours to get someone out to Blue Moon Plaza on a Friday night for an O.D. issue. We need services out in the Blue Moon area to help our Fair Family out, more than new booths and crafts. Historically, when I step up to the plate in a chair position, I want to give my all for it. I don't have any pre-disposed opinion to the 6 options we have for the BOD, I just want to move forward. Thank you for the opportunity to serve and I hope I serve you well.

Dennis – Let's review the committee policies and etiquette so we can make the meetings move better. If we can accomplish more each meeting, I'll feel better. We spend a lot of time on tangents, let's brainstorm and come up with tips for how we can facilitate the meeting process.

Here's one I came up with as an example – when you want to speak, make your point in the first 10 words. When you do speak up, be very well organized. Otherwise – the gavel!

Deane – At the BOD meetings we started timing and gong-ing folks

Jon P – The timing really works for us (the BOD) and allows people equal opportunity to speak

Chewie – I think that's a better way than the gavel

Chris – Let's try it

Susanna – I hear 2 proposals - Say what you want the first 10 words, the other - let's have a time limit

Chewie – I'm saying I don't want to do just the 10 words

Michael – The BOD doesn't just meet at BOD meetings, so we have time to talk otherwise

Paxton – It's difficult for many to be that concise (10 words) but in reality you can't define it in 10 words, especially when talking about 2 or 3 topics, I'm not sure that it works

Dennis – Maybe part of that is how about limiting to 1 point? Then you put your hand up for the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> point?

Paxton – I would like to reply to that, it forces a longer discussion

Dennis – OK, skip that

Jay – I have to say, at more than 3 years in this committee, you have heard all our perspectives over and over – I support this as a year to act. If you can't say in 10 words, try to say it at least in 20 words

Dean – I support limited comments and time element, as well

Tom – Be concise, but we also have public here. Members know what we're talking about, but we have to understand others may not know what we're talking about.

Chewie – I have a problem with artificially imposing a limit on wording. If we all spoke once on a topic we don't have to go around the whole room over and over and we could get the meeting rolling

Jon P – I disagree with the notion that we all know what the others will say, we evolve. I'm for a 3 minute limit

Colleen – I'd want to further develop bringing your notes to the meeting and being prepared to make your point. Read the minutes, bring them, and know what you want to say, because the public reviews our minutes. They benefit from knowing what we do in meetings.

Dennis – I'd like to solicit more feedback before moving on, raise your hand to agree ... (Many hands are raised in agreement)

Dennis – Are there any other comments?

Dean – Can we go 2 minutes rather than 3?

Chewie – 90 seconds?

Colleen – Who will keep time?

Chewie – I'll do it – (explains the timing process and wants to know how we'll handle it)

Jon P – I'm not for 90 seconds, I like 2 minutes better. We're dealing with complex material.

Paxton – I second that, 90 seconds is too short. I like 2 minutes better.

Chewie – We can try 2 minutes

Dennis – It's an adaptive process, we're not casting anything in stone

Jon P – In addition, can we ask for more time if needed?

Dennis – Yes, you can ask for more time

Dean – Will that also hold for multi-media presentations?

Dennis – Yeah, for anything special like that.

Chewie – When I talk, someone needs to time me (Justin said he would)

Colleen – Let's add a notification when the meeting is half over  
(Beginning of timed talking)

### **Agenda – Review amendments and approve**

Dennis – Moving on, shall we amend or approve the recommendations to the BOD?

Outline of BOD recommendations: Actions for 2011, 2012, 2013 & 2014, and Future Options by Dennis and call for questions

Dennis – For 2011 include: improving the entrance, adding the area that formerly hosted the ponies as public space, and moving barter fair and parked cars. In 2012 we recommend opening all the Crafts Lot and Phun Gate to the public, but develop only part of it. Leave the space on the south and west side for the public use. And way down here for future options – opening main camp, recycling, and developing 2<sup>nd</sup> event. Any questions?

Colleen – I would like to change the wording to “relocate cars (+/- 1000)” and “campers (+/- 1500- 2000)”

Chewie – That's great, but we haven't talked to the people to move them in 2012

Dennis – We may want to change our options

Michael – I support what Chewie is saying, we need to put it off for a year

Chewie – The 2011 plans work for me, say 1-3 years for the other plans, would rather recommend that it happens in the “near future”

Tom – I’d like to see the dates stay and let the BOD change the dates

Jon P – I don’t support the entire proposal, I only support parts. We can actually move the Kid Loop in 2011, but I don’t think not for the total removal of the Crafts Lot in one fell swoop, would rather do it in 3 or 4 increments

Dennis – The majority in the sub-committee felt opening the whole Crafts Lot in its entirety was better than a partial opening

Chewie – That’s lucid thinking...

Justin – The BOD will make the decisions, but I like having dates that people shoot for. If we don’t get there, they can’t get there, and then the dates can get readjusted,

Paxton – Let’s drop 2<sup>nd</sup> event venues, it’s too early to do that and relies on zoning changes. I would also change “open” to “consider” as it’s a better way to look at it.

Dennis – We’re keeping “open” because it means action, not talking

Paxton – Have you considered what happens if the BOD rejects this?

Dennis – I quit

Colleen – I want to talk about the dates – I was on the sub-committee and voted for it. But, I want to make sure the committee is comfortable with attaching a date

Justin – One of the BOD mandates since we’ve started this committee is to develop 5 – 10 booths a year to address the need for replacement booth sites – this is a driving force behind our whole purpose and mission statement

Dennis – If you do the math and average out how many booths get moved, it averages out to be 5 booths a year. This is the long term plan.

Justin – No one has moved from the booth we deemed critical off Upper River Loop

Deane – We need more booths. Staff keeps growing, and the booths don’t; the number of regular booths has gone down.

Justin – We look at 20-40 booths each year. We’re going through the jury process and more people are doing leaves of absence.

Bear – In terms of timing, we in water crew need concrete ideas about time. It’s hard for us to stick it out there without knowing what’s going on. We get so many rumors. As part of public utilities, I need to know “times.” I support doing this so we have something we can put pegs on

Justin – I’m excited to have a plan based on a plan, and not an emergency. It’s gonna happen sooner or later. I like finding the win-win, and we always try to find consensus

Dennis – So, the Timeline – we want to give the BOD options: 2011 – Gypsy (or Roma) Way, 2012 - wait a year, 2013-2014 – implement the Crafts Lot move, is that what I’m hearing?

Michael – I support the timeline, would like to wait in 2012, too, though. We’ll have a camp crew and community center and both these things will impact what our plans are 2-3 years out. I support 2013-2014 for the Crafts Lot.

Dennis – The Camp Crew is a pre-requisite to any development of the Crafts Lot

Paxton – And that was identified by Charlie today as taking 2 years

Chewie – I’m intimately involved and it’ll take 2 years

Chris – TAFKAG Way? (The Area Formerly Known As Gypsy Way) We need another name!

Chewie – I would like to at least say I like the 2011 plan; I just think that 2012 is more realistic. It’ll happen, but it relies on things to happen that have nothing to do with path planning, to make a recommendation is too bold. I support a 1-3 year plan

Dean – I'm concerned with improvements to Dragon and public areas this next year without a design Charette. We've spent a lot of time working on Gypsy (or Roma) Way and the Crafts Lot, and none on the entrance, yet we put it in our recommendation for 2011. I encourage that we do that, but we haven't spent a lot of time on it.

Dennis – We have a whole history of ideas for that area. We don't have affected campers for the Dragon, and we also have months of design work by Genevieve, and David Liberty before her. We just need BOD support, not the finished design plans.

Susanna – I don't support offering the BOD other options

Dennis – There have been several calls for other options

Susanna – I just want to offer our recommendations, I don't want us to over-consider the implications of rejection

Justin – It's their job to say why it won't work

Jon P – We speak of the Crafts Lot as needing the camping part fixed, but we don't apply it to the Gypsy (or Roma) Way campers – also, when we look at designs we should have all materials at the same scale (he shows the map printouts on paper and they are different sizes). I also have here a small drawing with space for about 10 booths, and if we move the playground there would be 20 total

Dennis – New ideas like this are not appropriate to send to the Board because they have not been vetted by the committee or the public

Sylvia – I agree with Susanna, since it is a recommendation, the dates should be there and they can nix them if they want

Paxton – I like the dates, but all the dates pushed back a year, I've learned that from just observing the Fair. Options are necessary and presented in developments in the past. In prior cases Roma (or Gypsy) Way was abandoned as not an ideal choice, and as a BOD member I prefer to have choices. If I do not get choices I will vote it down.

Dennis – You can say Yes we do 2011, or not

Colleen – I believe the Dragon rebuild would be rushed for 2011, but Roma (or Gypsy) Way could be done in 2011 and the Dragon entrance in 2012 because plans for the Crafts Lot will be solidified

Cathy – At all the meetings I've been going to everyone is saying, "Oh we can't do that, let's phase things in". We've been talking about this for a super-long time. We should *BE* phasing things in. Let's *DO SOMETHING* here

Dennis – Everyone in OPs pointed out recommendations 1, 2 and 3 are practical and possible next year

Chewie – If you give the BOD no choices, that's not gonna work. We've been in this committee talking about it for 3 years. They are thinking about 20K people besides the 20 people in this room, the strict timeline will not work, it doesn't happen that way. Give it options or it won't go.

Dennis – Options in times?

Chewie – Options in 2011 – 1, 2, 3. Options in 2012 – 1, 2, 3. You're looking at things that are going to cost money. It's the semantics of the wording and how you propose it.

Dennis – We never intended to present this as an all or nothing package

Susanna – If I hired a contractor, I would be given options and I'd choose which I want. I wouldn't vote him out if I didn't like his plan, or do everything, these are just our recommendations

Chewie – Do whatever you want, I just like the 1, 2, 3 as options, not an all or nothing

Susanna – How about "Recommended Actions for 2011" instead of "Options"

Chewie – When you do it, just present it, I don't want to see it as an all or nothing

Deane – I agree

Colleen – These are not individual options, as they are tied to each other; these are recommended actions and recommended timelines, people at the BOD could say they want this part or that part

Dennis – We have a lot of options, and different maps for each action, we have 4 drawings for one area, and 3 drawings for another, the BOD could say what they want from each recommendation

Jon P – Dennis asked me to investigate another public hearing or workshop. The BOD may consider doing that in Jan. These have to be distinguished as choices people can vote on

Dennis - There'll be a 1 page executive summary, and they can vote on it

Michael – Any of these options can be done without the others

Dennis – Except #3 needs to happen before any of the subsequent other actions can happen

\*confusion and discussion on the options\*

Michael – Can we vote on a name on this space (Gypsy/Roma/TAFKAG Way)?

Dennis – I was thinking of Pony Way

Colleen – I object – I'm not with Pony Way because of pony rides – can we get over that hurdle today?

Dennis – I would also suggest Caravan Way

Chewie - Steve suggested Gypsy Caravan has been there a long time and we should talk with the people at Gypsy Caravan Stage before we go changing the name.

**Motion** – Michael moved we solicit names and take a vote on a working name for this space

Dennis – Let's discuss this now

Jay – I have a degree in linguistics, and who told you gypsy is a bad word? Gypsy – in the dictionary – makes no reference to the word being negative or pejorative. What's going on with the Romas in Europe is a big deal, but Gypsy Way works

Jon P – This is out of the agenda that we agreed on

Dennis – Let's circulate suggestions to the committee via email and do it next month

Dean – I support that

**Motion withdrawn** – by Michael

Colleen – We wanted this by Nov, and it's Oct.

Dennis – If we can approve the timeline we can fill out the narrative. The important thing for us to do today is to approve the timeline, and then we'll draft the whole package

Chew – So we won't get it to the BOD in Nov?

Dennis – No - it's Dec. to get it to the BOD meeting, we have to have it ready by Nov.

Dennis went through the edits to the recommendations:

List in the preamble to the recommendations that these are options, and then in the recommendations that these are recommended actions-

#1 – Improve the entrance to the Fair (the Dragon)

Colleen – I'm against the entrance improvement in 2011, would rather see it done in 2012. Anyone else for that delay?



Chewie – That’s another one of those things that has a lot more to it, but it’s the recommendation that I can support.

Justin – The implementation is not our purview, just the recommendation

#2 – Develop Roma (or Gypsy) Way

#3 – Move the Barter Fair and parked cars

Colleen – We talking about moving the barter fair for this next year, are we all comfortable with that?

Susanna – Make it clear this is a staging move to move towards our goals for 2012/2013

Paxton – I advocate swapping island walk for Barter walk, which would fit in with that

Dean – There was a motion about the Barter Fair last year, and it didn’t pass. I’m curious why? Will there be a possibility of relocation move through the BOD?

Chewie – That was to eliminate the Barter Fair

Paxton – We also need to consider real estate that’s needed for future Fair growth

Chewie – I agree with Paxton

Jon P – Can we make the Barter Fair a separate recommendation?

Chewie – We can separate that out at the board level

**Motion** – Chewie moved we go ahead with the recommendations, with all options included, to the OCF BOD meeting in Dec., with hash out exact details to be done by PPC in Nov., with a 2<sup>nd</sup> by Chris

Dennis – Any edits? Shall we amend the range to 2012-2013?

(There was general agreement)

Dennis – Are we ready to vote that we accept the recommendations as a framework?

Colleen – We’re voting that we need to still do more work, but this is a framework?

Chris – The subcommittee is going to work on the framework

Dennis – We’ll add the narrative next month

Jon P. – I’m going to vote “No” until I know what this is saying

Dennis – This is the wording of the recommendation

Justin – If you don’t agree with this then you’re voting no. That’s for you to decide

**Motion passed** – with no abstentions, 2 opposed (Paxton and Jon P.)

Dennis – To Jon and Paxton - make sure when you speak to the BOD about this you make it clear that you are not representing the will of the committee, but your own opinion

Going over the proposed Work Plan -

Dennis – The Oct. sub-committee will make recommendations to the BOD, the next meeting is Nov. 14<sup>th</sup>, that’s when we’ll hash over the narrative that’s been drafted and vote to send the whole package to the BOD. For the entrance (Dragon) with the ideas we have, even without any capital – let’s work on a range of options; let’s work with Morningwood people and re-design their stage area and how that will work in the Crafts Lot development. Let’s refine the Crafts Lot and Gypsy (or Roma) Way designs but we need to see what the BOD chooses to do. We need to work on camping issues, our role may be a lot of on-the-ground contact and out-reach to affected people, let’s talk to others outside of our committees. We need to develop a long-term plan for the whole site so we can tell the BOD how our proposals fit into the bigger picture. In Traffic

- we need pedestrian and auto studies, and where Fair Family traffic travels; the pedestrians aren't getting good representation in areas outside of the public space.

Deane - One thing - if you have an RV, you'll have to pay \$30 more (or something) for parking next year, there's new option to charge more for the bigger vehicles

Colleen - As far the work plan draft - we can start putting things down that don't require BOD input

Dean - On pedestrians - I'm on the neighborhood response team - all that pedestrian traffic, all the 15K people on the outside camp areas come in on these roads. We need to put time in this area.

Dennis - Pocket parks - we need a Design Charette and an OPs person to work with. We need to do a Design Charette on the affected neighborhoods

Chewie - I wanted to recommend we add another person to this committee. Does David want to be on that committee?

Dennis - I've also talked to David and Mark about joining the committee, Colleen and I had reservations about adding new members this late

Mark - I'm not ready

Colleen - Point of order - this isn't on our agenda

Jon P - What task are we doing right this minute?

Dennis - We're doing the work-plan right now

Colleen - We get emails in regular BOD requirement and recommendation plans. Some of these things are tied to before the BOD meets, some after, where would the Design Charette fit in?

Dennis - If the BOD decides on a plan, we would know where the Design Charette would fit in

Colleen - Let's take the neighborhood Charettes. What happens if the neighborhood fights it? Or come to the meetings and complain? We shouldn't wait until next spring to do the Design Charettes. It should happen soon so people know what's happening

Chewie - So we'll change the design recommendations?

Colleen - No, but if there's a way to gather design comments from the public

Dennis - Most fears are about camping. We'll involve the people in the design Charette

Justin - We can't solve the camp issues

Colleen - It was a dead zone issue, and we can involve them

Dennis - While that issue is important, it won't affect the grand picture

Chewie - If you get the Design Charette in and the BOD accepts it, before OPS implements it, and then it will be OK

Colleen - OK - I get it

Dennis - Maybe in the spring?

Chewie - We can put in New Business in Nov. BOD meeting so we can get the process going

\*Discussion on when to do the Neighborhood Design Charettes\*

Dennis - Do you want to pick some dates?

Jon P. - My guess is all these dates will change

David - The need for the date is determined on BOD acceptance. Gypsy (or Roma)

Way can be sooner, but the Crafts Lot can wait, if we do it in June we can have more people participate

Dennis - How about June 5<sup>th</sup> for the Neighborhood Charette?

Chewie - Let's do 2, March and June

(It was decided to do Design Charettes Mar 6<sup>th</sup> and Jun 5<sup>th</sup>)

Chewie – On camping issues – it is my plan to do another camping workshop for the BOD. I really want this committee to have a sub-committee for it. I want to reserve Apr 3<sup>rd</sup> date for a camping sub-committee

(Done – all in agreement)

Dennis – On the re-design of Morningwood – can that just be a sub-committee?

David – I'm confused by that, why should we do a partial design when we're going to re-design it and the Crafts Lot? That stage is in poor condition and every year we cobble something together. We don't want to do things twice

Dennis – That's why this committee needs to be involved in the design

Chewie - It's both Sweet Leaf and Morningwood, that whole entrance needs work

David – To me it's just one part of a big thing that we're talking about

Chewie – I think it's Phun Gate that needs to be re-designed

Paxton – The plan is to move the stage

Dennis – I want to proceed on this because they are enthusiastic

Jon P. – There may be some tweaks that can be done without having to build the whole deal

Colleen – Phun Gate can be changed when we address the dead zone brought up by a member – we can change how we look at that area so we avoid a dead zone, it has to be tied to the design Charette

Dennis – It doesn't need to be tied to a design Charette for your neighborhood

Colleen – It's definitely related. Can we add Phun Gate to the Neighborhood Charette?

Dennis – The work on Morningwood Odditorium includes Phun Gate and traffic

Colleen – Where will we put it in the work plan?

Dennis – We have to see what the BOD accepts and then we'll do the Design Charette accordingly

Chewie – She's saying to do a Design Charette for both – Morningwood and the Rising Moon neighborhood at the same Charette

Colleen – I think both Phun Gate and Morningwood should talk to each other and be on the same Charette

Dennis – I don't think it would work for both as they are different

Colleen – Then I would like the Neighborhood Design Charette done before the Morningwood Charette

\*end of recording\*

Dennis – There are other dates still on the work plan

Jon P. – Will there be some sort of BOD function?

Dennis – On the work plan?

Colleen – We need a sub-committee to discuss the long-term work plan – and a meeting date

Chewie – How about the Apr 17<sup>th</sup> meeting? I will not be here for the Dec 5<sup>th</sup> meeting as that's my 50<sup>th</sup> birthday, and there's also Jan 16<sup>th</sup>

Paxton – I've been pushing the BOD to do a workshop on "Do we grow? If so, then How?" sometime in Jan, Feb or Mar.

Dennis – We should have our options ready by then

Chewie – How about Jan 16<sup>th</sup>

Chris – We want to get the proposal in to the BOD

Dennis – The BOD says we could go a month longer

Colleen – What about the Pocket Parks?

Dennis – Let's put that on Feb 20<sup>th</sup>

Jay – My crew looks after the parks – we need to talk about the smoking areas. I'd also like to talk about the Dragon

Dennis – Let's put it on the agenda for the next meeting

Colleen – Let's put in on for all the meetings!

Michael – Because they sure Drag-On....

Jay – Regarding the Dragon entrance – it really involves OPs, working w/ Steve, it's very complicated

Justin – And Kirk. At the committee level it should be a win-win, and opening the Gypsy Stage area was a driving force in eliminating pinch points. What we want to do is enhance the experience for the Fair Public, and it doesn't take much to improve the experience

Chewie – Is that a purview of this committee?

Dennis – We just identify the areas

Chewie – What about pocket parks/booth removal?

Dennis – Pedestrian traffic flow – let's do that Mar 20<sup>th</sup> – throughout the Fair, the entire site and the highway around it

Chew – PP needs to look at issues for the public

**Agenda Review – New Business** – add new members, and look into recording the meetings on video

Send an email to Dennis for your interests to serve on sub-committees so we can get the groups

Michael – And add to New Business – Vote on a name replacement for

Gypsy/Roma/TAFKAG Way

(scribe votes for Tafkag Way!)

Jay – I hope we won't just talk about Gypsy (or Roma) Way, but naming in general

Dennis – My experience is if someone puts it on the maps and it gets passed, then that's what it is. So – our final homework is to turn in your names for the sub-committees you're interested in working with and our next meeting date is Nov 14<sup>th</sup>

### **Meeting Evaluation**

Chewie – It was really hard to keep time with all the side conversations, let's keep on track and not cross talk

Jon P. – Overall it was pretty productive

Michael – I agree with Jon, and the timing and cross talk

Mark – Do I have to be on the committee to get stuff? (meaning: minutes and communications)

Dennis – We send the minutes link out to the Path Planning list, but we're going to try to use the website more. Thanks for the great meeting

Colleen – Thank to Justin for stepping up